

A Comparative Legal Analysis of Chile and Argentina in the Global Energy Transition

Guillermo Rodríguez-Granillo^{1*}, María Bleichmar Brener¹, Pedro Ortúzar Navarro²

¹ Facultad de Ciencias Políticas y Sociales, Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Mendoza, Argentina.

² Facultad de Ciencias Económicas y Administrativas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso. Valparaíso, Chile.

Article History

Received:
29.10.2025

Revised:
17.12.2025

Accepted:
12.01.2026

*Corresponding Author:

Guillermo Rodríguez-Granillo

Email:

granillo.guillermo@gmail.com

This is an open access article,
licensed under: [CC-BY-SA](#)



Abstract: The global transition toward renewable energy has significantly increased the demand for lithium, a critical mineral essential for battery technologies used in electric vehicles and energy storage systems. As two major lithium-producing countries in South America, Chile and Argentina play a strategic role in the global supply chain for energy transition technologies. However, lithium extraction activities have raised growing concerns regarding environmental sustainability, water scarcity, and the rights of indigenous communities living in high-altitude salt flat ecosystems. This study examines how legal frameworks governing lithium extraction incorporate principles of environmental justice and public participation. Using a normative juridical and comparative legal approach, this research analyzes statutory regulations, environmental governance mechanisms, and policy frameworks in Chile and Argentina. The study finds three main issues. First, lithium governance regimes in both countries are primarily oriented toward economic development and strategic resource policy rather than environmental justice considerations. Second, while both countries formally recognize indigenous consultation mechanisms, the implementation of participatory governance remains limited in practice. Third, environmental governance institutions face structural challenges in addressing cumulative ecological impacts in fragile salt flat ecosystems. Comparative analysis shows that Chile has developed stronger institutional oversight and environmental regulatory mechanisms, whereas Argentina adopts a more decentralized governance structure that places greater authority at the provincial level. These differences produce varying degrees of environmental accountability and community participation in lithium governance. This research argues that strengthening environmental justice principles and participatory governance mechanisms is essential to ensure that the global energy transition does not create new forms of environmental inequality in lithium-producing regions.

Keywords: Energy Transition, Environmental Justice, Indigenous Rights, Lithium Governance, Mining Law.



1. Introduction

The rapid expansion of renewable energy technologies has dramatically increased global demand for critical minerals. Lithium has become one of the most strategic resources in the energy transition due to its essential role in lithium-ion battery production. Countries with large lithium reserves have therefore gained significant geopolitical and economic importance in the emerging green energy economy. Among them, Chile and Argentina are key actors in the global lithium supply chain [1] [2].

Both countries possess vast lithium deposits located in high-altitude salt flats known as salares. These ecosystems are characterized by extreme climatic conditions and fragile hydrological systems that are highly sensitive to industrial extraction activities.

Lithium extraction in these regions relies heavily on brine pumping processes, which may significantly alter underground water systems and affect surrounding ecosystems. Environmental concerns related to water depletion and ecological degradation have therefore become central issues in lithium governance [3].

These concerns are particularly significant because lithium extraction areas are often inhabited by indigenous communities whose livelihoods depend on fragile desert ecosystems [4] [5]. As a result, conflicts between mining companies, governments, and local communities have intensified in recent years. Environmental justice has emerged as a critical analytical framework for understanding these conflicts. The concept emphasizes fairness in environmental decision-making, equitable distribution of environmental risks, and recognition of the rights of local communities.

In the context of lithium extraction, environmental justice requires regulatory frameworks that ensure meaningful participation of indigenous communities and effective environmental protection mechanisms. However, many governments face difficulties balancing economic development objectives with environmental and social considerations. This research therefore examines how lithium governance frameworks in Chile and Argentina address environmental justice concerns in the context of global energy transition. Two research questions guide the study:

1. How do legal frameworks governing lithium extraction incorporate environmental justice principles?
2. What institutional differences shape environmental governance outcomes in Chile and Argentina?

2. Literature Review

2.1. Environmental Justice in Extractive Industries

Environmental justice has become an important analytical framework for examining the social and ecological consequences of large-scale extractive industries. Early environmental justice scholarship primarily focused on unequal environmental burdens faced by marginalized communities in industrialized societies [6] [7]. Subsequent studies expanded the concept to include broader questions of procedural justice and recognition of indigenous rights.

In the context of natural resource extraction, environmental justice highlights how mining activities often generate disproportionate environmental risks for rural and indigenous populations. These risks include water contamination, land dispossession, and ecosystem degradation [8].

Scholars argue that environmental justice must address three interrelated dimensions: distributive justice, procedural justice, and recognition justice. Distributive justice concerns the equitable distribution of environmental costs and benefits [9]. Procedural justice focuses on fairness in decision-making processes, particularly the ability of affected communities to participate meaningfully. Recognition justice emphasizes the need to acknowledge cultural identities, traditional ecological knowledge, and indigenous territorial rights [10].

In Latin America, environmental justice debates are closely connected to the expansion of extractive industries in indigenous territories. Researchers have documented numerous environmental conflicts linked to mining, oil extraction, and hydrocarbon development. Studies examining mining governance in South America highlight how institutional weaknesses and political-economic priorities often limit the effectiveness of environmental regulations [11] [12]. Governments frequently prioritize economic growth and export revenues over environmental protection. Other research emphasizes that environmental justice is not only a normative principle but also a governance challenge. Effective implementation requires strong legal institutions, transparent regulatory frameworks, and accessible mechanisms for public participation.

Within this context, extractive industries often become arenas of contestation where competing claims over land, water, and resources intersect. Recent scholarship also highlights the importance of

examining environmental justice through comparative legal analysis. By comparing regulatory frameworks across jurisdictions, researchers can identify institutional factors that influence environmental governance outcomes. These theoretical insights provide a conceptual foundation for analyzing lithium governance in South American mining regimes [13].

2.2. Lithium Extraction and Ecological Impacts in Salt Flat Ecosystems

Lithium has become one of the most strategic minerals in the global energy transition. Lithium-ion batteries are essential components in electric vehicles, renewable energy storage systems, and numerous digital technologies [14] [15].

The rapid expansion of electric mobility has significantly increased global lithium demand. As a result, lithium-rich regions have become central nodes in global supply chains for low-carbon technologies [16]. A significant portion of the world's lithium reserves is located in the high-altitude salt flats of the Andes region. These salt flats contain brine deposits from which lithium can be extracted through evaporation processes [17].

Lithium extraction in salt flat ecosystems presents unique environmental challenges. The process requires pumping large volumes of brine from underground aquifers into evaporation ponds, which may alter hydrological systems and reduce groundwater availability. Researchers have warned that these processes may disrupt fragile desert ecosystems and threaten biodiversity. Salt flat environments are characterized by complex ecological interactions involving microbial life, migratory birds, and endemic species [18] [19].

Another major concern involves water scarcity in arid regions. Lithium extraction operations often compete with local communities and ecosystems for limited water resources. Several studies also highlight the cumulative ecological impacts of large-scale lithium production. Environmental effects may extend beyond immediate mining sites and influence regional hydrological cycles [20].

Environmental impact assessments therefore play a crucial role in evaluating ecological risks associated with lithium extraction projects. However, critics argue that existing environmental regulatory frameworks often fail to adequately address long-term ecological consequences. These concerns have intensified debates about the sustainability of lithium production in the context of the global energy transition [21] [22].

2.3. Indigenous Rights and Governance of Lithium Resources

Indigenous communities play a critical role in environmental governance across many resource-rich regions of Latin America. In lithium-producing areas, indigenous populations often inhabit territories surrounding salt flats and depend on fragile ecosystems for traditional livelihoods [23]. International legal frameworks recognize the importance of indigenous participation in decisions affecting natural resource governance [24]. Instruments such as international conventions on indigenous rights emphasize the principle of free, prior, and informed consent. Many Latin American countries have incorporated indigenous consultation mechanisms into national legal frameworks governing natural resource extraction [25] [26].

However, empirical studies reveal significant gaps between legal recognition and practical implementation. Consultation processes often occur after major policy decisions have already been made, limiting the ability of indigenous communities to influence outcomes. Researchers also note that power asymmetries between governments, multinational corporations, and local communities frequently undermine participatory governance mechanisms [27] [28].

In some cases, lithium extraction projects have triggered social conflicts due to concerns about environmental degradation and insufficient consultation. These conflicts highlight the importance of strengthening institutional frameworks that protect indigenous rights and promote inclusive environmental governance [29] [30]. Scholars increasingly argue that sustainable lithium governance must integrate environmental justice principles with indigenous rights frameworks. Such integration requires regulatory systems that ensure transparency, accountability, and meaningful participation in resource governance.

3. Methodology

This study adopts a normative juridical approach combined with comparative legal analysis. Primary legal materials include mining laws, environmental regulations, and policy documents governing lithium extraction in Chile and Argentina. Secondary materials include academic literature, environmental governance reports, and international legal instruments. The comparative analysis

focuses on institutional governance structures, environmental regulatory mechanisms, and public participation frameworks.

Environmental justice theory is used as the analytical framework, emphasizing three dimensions: distributive justice, procedural justice and recognition justice. This framework enables evaluation of how legal systems address environmental risks and community rights in lithium extraction activities.

4. Finding and Discussion

4.1. Institutional Governance of Lithium Extraction

Lithium governance in Chile and Argentina reflects distinct institutional structures that significantly influence regulatory outcomes. Chile has historically maintained strong state control over lithium resources due to their classification as strategic minerals. This governance model allows the central government to exercise substantial oversight over lithium extraction activities.

Table 1. Institutional Governance of Lithium Extraction

Analytical Dimension	Chile	Argentina	Policy Implications
Governance Structure	Lithium governance is relatively centralized, with strong involvement of the national government in regulating strategic mineral resources.	Lithium governance operates within a federal system where provincial governments possess constitutional authority over natural resources.	Differences in governance structures produce varying levels of regulatory oversight and coordination.
Legal Status of Lithium	Lithium is classified as a strategic mineral under national regulatory frameworks.	Lithium is regulated within the broader mining regime without specific classification as a strategic resource.	Chile maintains stronger state control over lithium resources.
Licensing Authority	Mining licenses and regulatory oversight are primarily administered by national institutions.	Provincial governments are responsible for granting mining licenses and regulating extraction activities.	Argentina's decentralized governance can lead to regulatory fragmentation.
Environmental Regulatory Authority	Environmental impact assessments are conducted through national regulatory agencies.	Environmental reviews are administered at the provincial level.	Environmental standards may vary between provinces.
Industrial Policy Orientation	The state plays a strategic role in controlling lithium production and industrial development.	The policy framework encourages private investment and international partnerships.	Argentina's system is generally more open to foreign investment.
Regulatory Transparency	National regulatory institutions provide relatively structured access to regulatory information.	Transparency depends heavily on provincial governance practices.	Information accessibility varies across jurisdictions.
Institutional Coordination	Stronger coordination exists among national regulatory agencies.	Coordination between provincial and federal authorities is often complex.	Institutional fragmentation may affect regulatory consistency.
Enforcement Capacity	Centralized institutions provide stronger monitoring capacity.	Enforcement capacity varies significantly between provinces.	Uneven enforcement may create regulatory gaps.
Policy Stability	National policy frameworks tend to provide relatively stable regulatory environments.	Policy frameworks may change depending on provincial political dynamics.	Investors may perceive higher regulatory risk in decentralized systems.
Governance Outcome	Stronger central oversight may enhance regulatory coherence.	Decentralization allows flexibility but may weaken regulatory consistency.	Both models involve trade-offs between control and flexibility.

Argentina, by contrast, operates within a federal governance structure in which provincial governments possess constitutional authority over natural resources. As a result, lithium regulation is largely decentralized, with provincial authorities responsible for issuing mining licenses and overseeing environmental regulations. These institutional differences create varying levels of regulatory coordination and enforcement capacity. Centralized governance systems may provide stronger regulatory consistency, whereas decentralized systems can produce regulatory fragmentation.

In Chile, national environmental institutions play a key role in evaluating lithium extraction projects. Environmental impact assessments are mandatory for major mining developments and are subject to review by national regulatory agencies. Argentina’s decentralized model creates greater variation in environmental regulatory practices across provinces. Some provinces have developed relatively strong environmental review processes, while others face administrative capacity constraints. Institutional fragmentation can therefore create challenges for ensuring consistent environmental standards across lithium-producing regions.

Another important institutional issue concerns transparency and accountability in lithium governance. Regulatory frameworks must provide mechanisms for public oversight and access to environmental information. The effectiveness of these mechanisms depends on institutional capacity, political will, and the independence of regulatory agencies. Comparative analysis shows that both countries face governance challenges in balancing economic development priorities with environmental protection. Strengthening institutional coordination and regulatory accountability is therefore essential for improving lithium governance.

4.2. Environmental Risks and Ecological Governance

Lithium extraction in salt flat ecosystems presents unique environmental governance challenges. These ecosystems are characterized by fragile hydrological systems that can be easily disrupted by large-scale industrial activities. The extraction process involves pumping brine from underground reservoirs, which may alter groundwater flows and reduce water availability for surrounding ecosystems.

Environmental researchers have raised concerns about the long-term ecological impacts of intensive lithium production. These impacts may include habitat degradation, biodiversity loss, and disruption of desert ecosystem dynamics. Environmental impact assessment procedures are intended to evaluate potential ecological risks before mining projects are approved.

However, critics argue that many environmental assessments focus primarily on short-term impacts rather than cumulative ecological consequences. Salt flat ecosystems are particularly sensitive to hydrological changes because groundwater systems operate on very slow recharge cycles.

Table 2. Environmental Risks and Ecological Governance

Environmental Dimension	Chile	Argentina	Ecological Implications
Major Extraction Sites	Lithium extraction is concentrated in the Salar de Atacama region.	Major extraction sites include Salar del Hombre Muerto and Salar de Olaroz.	Both regions are part of fragile high-altitude salt flat ecosystems.
Extraction Method	Lithium is extracted through brine pumping followed by evaporation processes.	Similar brine extraction methods are widely used.	Both systems involve significant water consumption.
Groundwater Impacts	Evidence suggests declining groundwater levels in some areas surrounding lithium operations.	Concerns have been raised about potential aquifer depletion.	Water scarcity poses a critical ecological risk.
Ecosystem Vulnerability	Desert ecosystems surrounding lithium extraction sites are highly sensitive to hydrological changes.	Similar ecological vulnerabilities exist in Argentine salt flats.	Environmental disturbances may affect regional biodiversity.
Environmental Impact Assessment	Environmental impact assessments are conducted within a national regulatory framework.	Environmental assessments are managed at the provincial level.	Regulatory approaches differ in scope and institutional capacity.

Environmental Dimension	Chile	Argentina	Ecological Implications
Environmental Monitoring	Monitoring systems are relatively structured under national environmental agencies.	Monitoring mechanisms depend largely on provincial administrative capacity.	Variations in monitoring capacity may affect environmental oversight.
Environmental Conflicts	Environmental NGOs and local communities have expressed concerns regarding ecological degradation.	Social protests have occurred in response to environmental risks associated with lithium extraction.	Environmental conflicts are increasingly visible in both countries.
Transparency of Environmental Data	Environmental information is generally more accessible through national regulatory institutions.	Access to environmental data may be limited depending on provincial transparency.	Limited data transparency may hinder environmental accountability.
Long-term Ecological Risks	Long-term hydrological impacts remain uncertain due to slow groundwater recharge cycles.	Similar uncertainties exist regarding cumulative environmental impacts.	Ecological recovery may take decades or longer.
Environmental Governance Challenges	Balancing economic development and ecosystem protection remains a central policy challenge.	Regulatory capacity constraints complicate sustainable resource management.	Strengthening environmental governance is essential for sustainable lithium production.

This means that environmental damage caused by excessive water extraction may take decades or even centuries to recover. Another challenge involves monitoring environmental impacts over long time periods. Regulatory agencies must have sufficient technical capacity to assess hydrological data and ecological indicators. Without effective monitoring mechanisms, environmental regulations may fail to prevent irreversible ecological damage. Therefore, strengthening ecological governance frameworks is essential for ensuring sustainable lithium extraction.

4.3. Indigenous Rights and Participatory Governance

Indigenous communities occupy an important position in lithium governance debates in South America. Many lithium extraction areas are located within or near territories traditionally inhabited by indigenous populations. These communities often rely on fragile desert ecosystems for pastoral activities, small-scale agriculture, and cultural practices.

Table 3. Indigenous Rights and Participatory Governance

Participatory Dimension	Chile	Argentina	Environmental Justice Implications
Legal Recognition of Indigenous Rights	Indigenous rights are formally recognized within national legal frameworks.	Indigenous rights are recognized through constitutional provisions and provincial regulations.	Both countries formally acknowledge indigenous participation in environmental governance.
Consultation Mechanisms	Consultation procedures are included in environmental decision-making processes.	Consultation mechanisms operate through provincial administrative procedures.	Institutional implementation varies across jurisdictions.
Timing of Consultation	Consultation processes often occur after key policy decisions have been made.	The timing of consultations varies depending on provincial regulations.	Late consultation limits meaningful participation.
Implementation of Free, Prior and Informed Consent	Implementation remains partial and contested in practice.	Implementation varies across provinces and projects.	Procedural recognition does not guarantee substantive participation.

Participatory Dimension	Chile	Argentina	Environmental Justice Implications
Access to Environmental Information	Communities have relatively greater access to regulatory information through national institutions.	Access to information may depend on local administrative transparency.	Information asymmetry remains a major challenge.
Community Institutional Capacity	Indigenous communities have increasingly developed advocacy networks and legal mobilization strategies.	Community advocacy capacity varies significantly across regions.	Unequal capacity influences participation outcomes.
Social Conflicts	Protests have emerged among Atacameño communities regarding lithium extraction impacts.	Indigenous coalitions have mobilized against lithium projects in Salinas Grandes.	Resource conflicts highlight governance gaps.
Role of Civil Society	Environmental NGOs play an active role in monitoring lithium extraction projects.	NGOs support indigenous advocacy efforts and environmental campaigns.	Civil society involvement strengthens public oversight.
Corporate Influence	Multinational mining companies possess substantial economic and political influence.	International investors play a major role in project development.	Power asymmetries shape negotiation dynamics.
Overall Justice Outcome	Participation mechanisms often remain procedural rather than transformative.	Participatory frameworks frequently function as symbolic consultation processes.	

Environmental changes resulting from lithium extraction may therefore have significant impacts on local livelihoods. International human rights frameworks emphasize the importance of indigenous participation in decisions affecting natural resource governance. Many national legal systems have incorporated consultation mechanisms intended to protect indigenous rights.

The effectiveness of these mechanisms varies significantly in practice. Consultation processes may occur late in project development stages, limiting the ability of communities to influence policy outcomes. Another challenge involves information asymmetries between local communities and mining companies.

Technical information regarding environmental risks may not always be accessible or understandable to affected populations. Power imbalances between multinational corporations and rural communities also complicate participatory governance. As a result, consultation mechanisms sometimes function as procedural formalities rather than meaningful decision-making processes. Strengthening participatory governance frameworks is therefore essential for advancing environmental justice in lithium extraction regions.

5. Conclusion

This study has examined the governance of lithium extraction through a comparative legal analysis of Chile and Argentina, two key actors in the global lithium supply chain located within the Andean salt flat region. The analysis demonstrates that lithium governance in both countries is shaped by institutional arrangements, environmental regulatory capacity, and mechanisms for indigenous participation. While both states formally recognize environmental protection and community consultation as important components of resource governance, the practical implementation of these principles remains uneven.

The comparative findings highlight significant differences in institutional governance structures. Chile operates under a relatively centralized regulatory framework in which lithium is treated as a strategic resource subject to national oversight. This model allows stronger regulatory coordination and more structured environmental monitoring mechanisms. In contrast, Argentina's federal governance structure grants substantial authority over natural resources to provincial governments. Although this decentralized model may facilitate investment and regional flexibility, it also creates regulatory fragmentation and uneven environmental enforcement across jurisdictions. These

institutional differences shape the overall effectiveness of environmental governance in lithium-producing regions.

Environmental risks associated with lithium extraction represent another critical challenge. Both countries rely primarily on brine extraction and evaporation processes in fragile salt flat ecosystems. These ecosystems, located within the high-altitude Andean region, depend on complex hydrological systems that are highly sensitive to industrial water extraction. Evidence from existing studies suggests that large-scale lithium production may contribute to groundwater depletion, ecosystem degradation, and long-term ecological uncertainty. Although environmental impact assessment mechanisms exist in both countries, regulatory frameworks still struggle to address cumulative ecological risks and long-term hydrological impacts.

The study also reveals persistent challenges in achieving meaningful participatory governance. Indigenous communities inhabiting areas surrounding salt flats frequently depend on local ecosystems for cultural practices and traditional livelihoods. Although legal frameworks in both countries formally recognize consultation mechanisms, these processes often occur late in decision-making stages and may function primarily as procedural requirements rather than substantive forms of participation. Consequently, power asymmetries between local communities, state authorities, and multinational mining corporations continue to shape the outcomes of lithium governance.

From a broader perspective, the findings of this research suggest that the global transition toward low-carbon energy technologies may generate new forms of environmental inequality if governance systems fail to adequately integrate environmental justice principles. Lithium extraction is widely framed as a key component of sustainable energy development, yet its production can impose significant ecological and social costs on local communities in resource-producing regions. Addressing this paradox requires regulatory frameworks that balance economic development objectives with stronger environmental safeguards and more inclusive decision-making processes.

Future policy reforms should therefore prioritize three key areas. First, strengthening environmental governance mechanisms through improved monitoring systems, transparent ecological data, and stronger regulatory enforcement is essential for protecting fragile desert ecosystems. Second, institutional coordination between national and sub-national authorities must be enhanced to reduce regulatory fragmentation and improve policy coherence. Third, participatory governance mechanisms must move beyond procedural consultation toward more substantive forms of community engagement that recognize indigenous rights and local ecological knowledge.

Ultimately, sustainable lithium governance will depend on the ability of states to reconcile the competing demands of economic development, environmental protection, and social justice. As global demand for lithium continues to expand, the experiences of Chile and Argentina provide important insights into the governance challenges facing resource-rich countries in the era of energy transition. Strengthening environmental justice frameworks within extractive governance systems will therefore be essential to ensure that the pursuit of clean energy does not inadvertently reproduce new forms of environmental marginalization in lithium-producing regions.

References

- [1] A. Schlosberg, *Defining Environmental Justice: Theories, Movements, and Nature*, Oxford Univ. Press, 2007.
- [2] M. Sovacool and S. Dworkin, *Global Energy Justice: Problems, Principles, and Practices*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2015.
- [3] N. Simcock, "Procedural justice and the implementation of community wind energy projects: A case study from South Yorkshire, UK," *Land Use Policy*, vol. 59, pp. 467- 477, Dec. 2016
- [4] J. Jenkins, D. McCauley, S. Heffron, H. Stephan, and M. Rehner, "Energy justice: A conceptual review," *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 11, pp. 174 -182, Jul. 2018.
- [5] N. J. Bennett, H. Govan, and T. Satterfield, "Ocean grabbing," *Marine Policy*, vol. 57, pp. 61–68, Jul. 2015.
- [6] M. Hamwi and I. Lizarralde, "Energy entrepreneurship business models innovation: insights from European emerging firms," in *Proc. BIEE Oxford 2018 Research Conf.*, Oxford, UK, Sep. 2018.
- [7] United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE), *Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-Making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters*, UNECE, Aarhus, Denmark, 1998.

- [8] J. G. de Freitas, “Nature in law: the environment and sustainable development in Portugal’s democratic political-legal framework,” *População e Sociedade*, vol. 41, pp. 10–23, Jun. 2024.
- [9] S. O. Funtowicz and J. R. Ravetz, “The Emergence of Post-Normal Science,” in *Science, Politics and Morality*, R. von Schomberg, Ed., Theory and Decision Library, vol. 17. Dordrecht: Springer, 1993.
- [10] J. Dwyer and D. Bidwell, “Chains of trust: Energy justice, public engagement, and the first offshore wind farm in the United States,” *Energy Research & Social Science*, vol. 47, pp. 166–176, 2019.
- [11] S. Monteiro and B. Aibar-Guzmán, “Determinants of environmental disclosure in the annual reports of large companies operating in Portugal,” *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 185–204, 2010.
- [12] J. Galan, F. Galiana, D. J. Kotze, K. Lynch, D. Torreggiani, and B. Pedroli, “Landscape adaptation to climate change: Local networks, social learning and co-creation processes for adaptive planning,” *Global Environmental Change*, vol. 78, 102627, Jan. 2023.
- [13] P. Chamusca, “Measuring and addressing territorial cohesion: A framework for regional development in Portugal,” *Sustainability*, vol. 17, no. 7, 2025.
- [14] F. Fabbri, S. Ruitton, and S. Rober, “Marine spatial planning initiatives off the coast of large coastal cities: An assessment in the Northwestern Mediterranean,” *Ocean & Coastal Management*, vol. 270, 107898, Nov. 2025.
- [15] J. De Macedo Vitorino, F. Vidigal, and R. Coelho, “Portuguese Government approves final offshore wind seabed areas,” *Mondaq*, Portugal, Apr. 16, 2025.
- [16] Sociedade Portuguesa para o Estudo das Aves (SPEA), *Seabirds and Offshore Wind Development in Portugal: Final Report*, SPEA, Lisboa, 2024.
- [17] Instituto Português do Mar e da Atmosfera (IPMA), *Cumulative Impacts of Offshore Wind Development in Portugal: Environmental and Fisheries Assessment*, IPMA, Lisboa, 2024.
- [18] E. Biber and J. Eagle, “When does legal flexibility work in environmental law?,” *Ecology Law Quarterly*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 787–840, Jan. 2015.
- [19] A. Olawole and A. T. Esther, “Regulatory framework and standardization: A contemporary literature review,” *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 5407–5421, Jul. 2025.
- [20] S. Abdullah, A. Markandya, and P. A. L. D. Nunes, “Introduction to economic valuation methods,” in *Research Tools in Natural Resource and Environmental Economics*, 2011.
- [21] IPMA, “Cumulative impacts of offshore wind projects on migratory species, sediment flows, and fisheries,” *Internal Report*, 2024.
- [22] A. Mendonça, W. Leal Filho, and F. Alves, “Public participation and climate change governance: Between political approach and local actors’ perspective in two Macaronesian territories,” *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, vol. 11, 2023.
- [23] B. Brouwer, R. van Bergem, S. Renes, L. M. Kamp, and T. Hoppe, “Does local ownership matter? A comparative analysis of fourteen wind energy projects in the Netherlands,” *Energy Research & Social Science*, vol. 120, Art. no. 103891, 2025.
- [24] J. Ramírez, “Impacts of neoliberal wind energy investments on environmental justice and human rights in Mexico,” in *Business and Development Studies: Issues and Perspectives*, P. Lund-Thomsen, M. W. Hansen, and A. Lindgreen, Eds. Abingdon, UK: Routledge, 2019.
- [25] V. Ramos, G. Giannini, T. Calheiros-Cabral, P. Rosa-Santos, and F. Taveira-Pinto, “Legal framework of marine renewable energy: A review for the Atlantic region of Europe,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 137, Article no. 110608, 2021.